

Showcause



**GOVERNMENT OF INDIA
MINISTRY OF MINES
INDIAN BUREAU OF MINES
OFFICE OF THE REGIONAL CONTROLLER OF MINES**



Tel No.080 23371027
Fax No.080 23373287
Email ID: ro.bangalore@ibm.gov.in, rcombng@rediffmail.com

29, Industrial Suburb, II Stage
Tumkur Road, Goruguntapalya
Yeshwantpur, Bangalore-560022

No. KNT/BLR/Fe/397/BNG/
The Executive Director
M/s National Mineral Development Corporation
Kumarasamy Iron Ore Mines
Bellary District, Karnataka.

Dated: 16/2/ 2016

Fax- 08395-274687

Subject:- Violation of provisions of MCDR 1988 in respect of Kumarasamy Iron Ore Mine (ML No. 1111) over an extent of 647.50 ha in Sandur Taluk & Bellary District, Karnataka State...Reg.
Sir,

The following provisions of Mineral Conservation and Development Rules 1988 were found violated in your above mine during the inspection on 13/8/2015 & 14/8/2015 by undersigned and communicated to you vide this office letter Regd. A/D even No. dated 22/24. 9. 2015 giving a period of 45(forty five) days' time for rectification of the same.

Rule No.	Violation observed	Reply by the party	Comments on reply/ Compliance
13(1)	(i) In para 5.1, it is proposed to work during the year 2015-16 in 'B' block pit 1 & 2 and in 'C' block, as per the location in the grid line between N-76000 to N-76500 & E-76000 to E-77000. But during the inspection it was observed that, in Block-B, only pit No.1 was in operation and in Block-C, in addition to the above proposed pit, another area/ pit were in operation to produce Iron Ore further western side of the CLO & Fines stacks, i.e between the grid lines of N-75500 to N-75000 and W-77000 to W-76000 respectively, which is not in the approved proposals.	The quantity planned from pit No.2 of B block is 15000t for the year, it is to be stated that the quantity will be achieved by the end of the year 2015-16. B. for the working in C block at other than the proposed area, it is to be stated that during the time of preparation of scheme of mining, it was anticipated that as C block is the forest land, tree remuneration will be completed of the programmed area. But, due to the delays in clearance from forest department a separate area was chosen for excavation with existing clearance.	The reply is not satisfactory and correct. It is therefore, advised hereby to submit draft modification to the approved scheme of mining for further approval, without which the violation remains un-complied.
	(ii) In para 4.2, it is proposed to undertake further exploration during the period 2014-17, in the ensuing scheme of mining. The mining operations is progress in the 4 th year period i.e. 2015-16, no any exploration is under taken till date, after the approved modification of approved scheme of mining. Thus, the lessee company is not adhering to the approved proposals.	As per Para 4.2, it is stated that exploration work will ensure in the current scheme of mining. It is to be stated that the commencement of work is expected to start in the year 2016-17.	Expected date of commencement of drilling operation may be given.
Rule 45	(i). While scrutinizing the annual returns for the year 2014-15, the	As Kumarasamy iron ore mine is a developing mine, the dumps was	The dump location and the

	production reported from the open cast working is 49,06,248 tonnes and from the dump workings 1,28,776.51 tonnes, but as per the approved document, there is no such proposals of production from dump workings. During the inspections, no such dumps were shown in the field for reference.	created during the excavation for the structure of crushing plant. On analysis it was found that it can be feed with restriction in order to blend high grade ore with the low grade ore to get a desirable product. Furthermore as the current quantity remaining in the dump is minimal compared to the previous quantity, the dump was not shown during the visit. (i.e. opening balance in dump for financial year 2014-15 was 132967.55t and closing balance was 4191.04t.	existing quantity in the ML area should be submitted through photographs to consider for compliance.
Rule 27(4)	The plans & sections required under these rules shall be maintained up to date within three months in case of category 'A' mine. But, the same are not maintained. During the inspection, it was informed that the plans & sections are maintained, up to june, 2015. But the new additional pit and its locations on the western side, as indicated in the above violation were not brought out in the updated plans, the new pit reveals, were operated for some long periods.	The plans and corresponding cross sections are being updated once every three months.	The reply is not satisfactory and correct. If the company is being mentioned upto date, why it is not shown in the plans during the last visit.

02. In this connection, it is to bring to your notice that the above violations constitute an offence punishable under Rule 58 of MCDR 1988. Further, the violation of Rule 13(1) of MCDR may also attract provision of Rule 13(2) of MCDR 1988 regarding suspension of mining operations till the compliance of the violations.

03. You are advised to rectify the above violations immediately and intimate the position to this office within 30 (thirty) days from the date of issue of this letter.

Yours faithfully,

Sd/-

(C. Parameshwaran)
Dy. Controller of Mines

Copy for kind information to :

1. The Controller of Mines (SZ), IBM, Bangalore.
2. The Director, Dept. of Mines & Geology, Govt. of Karnataka, Khanij Bhavan, Race Course Road, Bangalore 560 001.

Yours faithfully,

Sd/-

(C. Parameshwaran)
Dy. Controller of Mines